

Attention: This form must be completed for each PP evaluation and submitted together with the evaluation report.

FOR THE EVALUATION MANAGER AND ADA PPM TO FILL IN (Part 1)					FOR THE EVALUATOR/S TO FILL IN (Part 2)							
ADA PP Number	ADA Organizational Unit managing the PP	PP Title	CRS Code/s	Country/Region of PP	Evaluation Manager	Project Budget	Evaluation company/evaluator	Timing of evaluation	Completion date of evaluation (xx/xx/xxxx)	Assessment of results - key aspects	Score (choose only one answer for each aspect assessed)	Justify score. Include finding and reference page/s in evaluation report.
				Ethiopia, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda		79793,8	Includovate PTY Ltd	The original timeline was from 1 Feb 2023 to 15 July 2023. Due to the need to review the original draft report, it was extended to the end of August 2023.	The first draft was submitted on 22/05/2023. This version is submitted on 25/08/2023.	1. The extent to which the planned output/s (as defined in the project document/logframe/Theory of Change) has/have been achieved taking into account the causal link between inputs and outputs.		Knowledge sharing and capacity building provided by HORIZONT3000 capacitated the implementing partners. See page 29 (Finding 28), page 40-43 (Findings 43 and 44). Training and demonstration have enabled farmers to practice natural resource management. See page 19 (Findings 11 and 12). awareness raising campaigns increased reported cases of violence. See page 24-25 (Findings 23-25). Environmental awareness reduced open defecation - page 47 (finding 47)
				Ethiopia, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda		79793,8		End-term		2. The extent to which the planned outcome/s (as defined in the project document/logframe/Theory of Change) has/have been achieved taking into account the causal link between outputs and outcomes.	L (Largely achieved)	results fairly well. 1. It has reached more beneficiaries than it planned. See page 11-12 (Findings 1-4) 2. It has improved farmers food
										3. The extent to which the PP contributed to the objectives at impact level (as defined in the project document/logframe/ToC).	L (Largely achieved)	
										4. The extent to which the outputs, outcomes and impact achieved contributed to results related to the relevant cross-cutting issues. Please add a justification for each relevant cross-cutting issue.	NA (Not applicable)	This evaluation is concerned with effectiveness and sustainability not impact The projects benefited more female beneficiaries than males. Women came to leadership positions. Though the 2019 gender analysis is not fully implemented, gender disaggregated data and bringing women to leadership positions was a great
										5. Have the right approaches - with a view to implementing ADA's overarching principles - been adopted to ensure results achievement?	F (Fully achieved)	ADA checklist followed.