

Attention: This form must be completed for each PP evaluation and submitted together with the evaluation report.

FOR THE EVALUATION MANAGER AND ADA PPM TO FILL IN (Part 1)						FOR THE EVALUATOR/S TO FILL IN (Part 2)						
ADA PP Number	ADA Organizational Unit managing the PP	PP Title	CRS Code/s	Country/Region of PP	Evaluation Manager	Project Budget	Evaluation company/evaluator	Timing of evaluation	Completion date of evaluation (xx/xx/xxxx)	Assessment of results - key aspects	Score (choose only one answer for each aspect assessed)	Justify score. Include finding and reference page/s in evaluation report.
1980-01/2019		Ländliche Entwicklung Senegal 19-22		Sénégal - 6 regions (Thiès, Fatick, Kaolack, Kaffrine, Tambacounda, Kolda)		3.775.709,74	FRAO Fondation Rurale de l'Afrique de l'Ouest	June 2022 - January 2023	30.01.2023	1. The extent to which the planned output/s (as defined in the project document/logframe/Theory of Change) has/have been achieved taking into account the causal link between inputs and outputs.	L (Largely achieved)	The results of the programme are derived from the results of the 7 funded projects. All projects delivered results in line with what was planned. Overall, the implementation was satisfactory when comparing forecasts, activities carried out and results obtained (p 5).
										2. The extent to which the planned outcome/s (as defined in the project document/logframe/Theory of Change) has/have been achieved taking into account the causal link between outputs and outcomes.	L (Largely achieved)	The three indicators of the specific objective were achieved with ratios between planned and realised exceeding 100% for the first two indicators for which the necessary data was available. See page 30 of the report
										3. The extent to which the PP contributed to the objectives at impact level (as defined in the project document/logframe/ToC).	L (Largely achieved)	The programme's impact refers to the food security objectives linked to the SDGs and we have seen that the indicator on the % of households having covered their food needs throughout the year has been largely achieved with a ratio of 140% (page 26 of the report)
										4. The extent to which the outputs, outcomes and impact achieved contributed to results related to the relevant cross-cutting issues. Please add a justification for each relevant cross-cutting issue.	P (Partly achieved)	the contributions to the cross-cutting issues are varied. Limitations were noted in the development of gender strategies and the implementation of gender action plans (p.40). implementation results are fairly positive in terms of the environment, but community support is still needed to secure the gains made (p48). in knowledge management, the rate of implementation of innovations learned during the exchange visits needs to be improved (p43).
										5. Have the right approaches - with a view to implementing ADA's overarching principles - been adopted to ensure results achievement?	L (Largely achieved)	the programme's approach has generally taken good approaches to implementing the overarching principles of ADA. Whether in poverty reduction, human rights or the environment. It was only recommended that more effort be made to detect certain categories such as the disabled or widowed heads of household (annex p91)