ADA Guidelines for Programme and Project Evaluations (Annex 9): Results Assessment Form (RAF) template

Attention: This form must be completed for each PP evaluation and submitted together with the evaluation report.

FOR THE EVA	R THE EVALUATION MANAGER AND ADA PPM TO FILL IN (Part 1)							FOR THE EVALUATOR/S TO FILL IN (Part 2)					
ADA PP Number	ADA Organizational Unit managing the PP	PP Title	CRS Code/s	Country/Region of PP	Evaluation Manager	Project Budget	Evaluation company/ evaluator	Timing of evaluation	Completion date of evaluation (xx/xx/xxxx)	Assessment of results - key aspects	Score (choose only one answer for each aspect assessed)	Justifiy score. Include finding and reference page/s in evaluation report.	
							pme Kohlweg Consulting	October 2022- February 2023	Planned for 28.2.2023	 The extent to which the planned output/s (as defined in the project document/logframe/Theory of Change) has/have been achieved taking into account the causal link between inputs and outputs. 	L (Largely achieved)	The project has defined three outputs in the project document. Considering the inputs and the activities, the outputs can be considered as largely achieved by end of November 2022. See chapter 5.2 "Effectiveness", The project will continue until the end of	
												2023 and it can be assumed that most of the outputs will most likely be fully achieved.	
										 The extent to which the planned outcome/s (as defined in the project document/logframe/Theory of Change) has/have been achieved taking into account the causal link between outputs and outcomes. 	P (Partly achieved)	Since there is a lack of some causal links between some outputs and the outcome and the outcome being further into seven sub-outcomes, it can be overall concluded that the outcome & sub- outcomes will be partially achieved. See chapter 5.2 "Effectiveness", evaluation question 4 and other sub-chapters.	
										 The extent to which the PP contributed to the objectives at impact level (as defined in the project document/logframe/ToC). 	NAS (not assessed)	The Terms of Reference did not require to assess the impact level since no respective evaluation questions were	
										4. The extent to which the outputs, outcomes and impact achieved contributed to results related to the relevant cross- cutting issues. Please add a justification for each relevant cross- cutting issue.	L (Largely achieved)	formulated. Analysing the cross-cutting planned interventions and results on gender mainstreaming and the human rights- based approach, the evaluation findings and evidence suggest that both have been largely achieved. See chapter 5.2, "Effectiveness" and in particular the evaluation questions 6 and 7.	
										S. Have the right approaches - with a view to implementing ADA's overarching principles - been adopted to ensure results achievement?	F (Fully achieved)	The project applies a demand-driven approach, follows a multilevel intervention strategy and a capacity development approach. The evaluation found substantial evidence concluding that the project has successfully applied these three approaches. See chapter 5.2 "Effectiveness" and for capacity development, chapter 5.2, evaluation question 5.	