

Monitoring Report (for publication)

| | |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Contract number: | 6547-00/2020 & 6547-00/2021 |
| Project/programme title: | Local Development for the consolidation of Peace in Mozambique – DELPAZ: Sofala Sub-programme |
| Country / countries / region: | Mozambique, Sofala |
| Implementing Organisation/s: | ADA, CEPS |
| Project duration: | 1.11.2020 – 31.12.2024 |
| Interlocutors: | EUD Maputo, UNCDF, AICS, MADER, DPPF, DPAP, DPOP, District Administrator Gorongosa, SDAE, extensionists, beneficiaries |

Project progress

1. Progress in achieving project outcome(s) and outputs per logical framework:
Interlocutors praised the high relevance and target group-oriented approach of the project. The inception phase of the DELPAZ programme needs to be extended at least a year due to, among others, challenges faced with Mozambique's ongoing decentralization process, as well as capacity constraints and thus capacity development needs. Concerning the logical framework, baseline and target values will be finalized at the end of the inception phase.
2. Assessment of project expenditures: (slow/as planned/adequate?)
Currently still slow (see delays mentioned above). 51% of the planned 2021 expenditure has been achieved, but a major part of the funds disbursed to CEPS has not yet been executed.
3. How do you assess measures taken to manage gender, social and environmental impact (follow-up of any recommendations from the EGSi assessment, if applicable)?
Measures to manage gender, social and environmental impact are being implemented. EGSIM coaching and training recommended to enhance quality and sustainability of results is continued.
4. Are risk assessment and risk management plans up to date? How do you assess the functioning of risk monitoring and risk management of the project?
Risk management measures are being implemented and appear to be effective. Risk classification remains unchanged. Given external changes and deeper understanding of community realities an update and refinement of the risk management plan was discussed with the project management team.
5. Has the project encountered unexpected challenges? If yes, please explain.
The on-going national decentralization process poses more challenges than initially anticipated (e.g. slow fund inscription concerning CEPS grant agreement), which has led to delays in activities.
6. How do you assess perspectives for impact and sustainability of the intervention? (only if monitoring visit takes place towards the end of the project)
To be assessed towards the end of the project.
7. How have past recommendations (incl. evaluations) been considered (if applicable)? New recommendations (to whom)?
Not applicable. First monitoring report.

Author of the report: (name/position)

Viola Belohrad, Programme Manager Unit Third Party Funding
Waltraud Rabitsch, Advisor Poverty Reduction, Rural Development, Decentralisation

Date: 07.07.2022